Comparison of Early Versus Late Oral Feeding After Elective Stoma Closure

Manzoor Ahmed,^{1*} Mukhtar Mehboob,¹ Fida Ahmed,¹ Khan Mohammad Babar,¹ Mohammad Iqbal,¹ Shoaib Ahmed Qureshi¹

ABSTRACT

Objective	To evaluate the outcome of early versus late oral feeding in elective intestinal anastomosis
	in terms of postoperative ileus, anastomosis leakage, wound infection and hospital stay.

Study design Comparative study.

Place & Department of Surgery, Bolan University of Medical and Health Sciences Quetta and Duration of Mohtarma Shaheed Benazir Bhutto Hospital Quetta, from January 2017 to December 2018.

- Methodology All patients with temporary stoma were included in this study. Patients with co-morbid conditions were excluded. Patients were divided in two groups. Group E and Group L. After preoperative assessment and informed consent patients were subjected to surgery. Data related to paralytic ileus, anastomotic leak, wound infection and hospital stay were recorded. In Group E oral sips were allowed within 24 hours while in Group L after 72 to 96 hours. Data were analyzed using SPSS version 20. The Chi-square test used to compare the difference.
- ResultsA total of 156 patients were enrolled. There were 94 (60.3%) males and 62 (39.7%) females.
Male to female ratio was 1.51:1. In group E, 8 (10.25%) patients developed paralytic ileus
compared to 27 (34.61%) patients of group L (p= <0.001). Postoperative anastomotic leak
observed in 2 (2.56%) patients in group E while 7 (8.97%) had leak in group L (p=0.083).
Infection developed in overall 36 (22.43%) patients. In group E 8 (10.25%) patients while
in group L, 28 (35.89%) developed infection (p= < 0.001). Hospital stay was significantly
less in study group (P=<0.001).</th>

Conclusion Early oral feeding is safe and has significantly less complications.

Key words Early feeding, Paralytic ileus, Intestinal anastomosis, Anastomotic dehiscence.

INTRODUCTION:

Preoperative optimization of patients with carbohydrates is well-established practice nowadays. The advent of technology also rationalized the concepts of postoperative paralytic ileus. A paradigm shift from delayed to early oral postoperative feeding

¹ Department of Surgery Unit III Sandeman Hospital and Bolan University of Medical & Health Sciences Quetta.

Correspondence: Dr. Manzoor Ahmed ^{1*} Department of Surgery Unit III Sandeman (Prov) Hospital & Bolan University of Medical and Health Sciences Quetta. E- mail: drmanzoorbaloch@gmail.com is in usual practice nowadays. Patients undergoing intestinal anastomosis usually bear the brunt of surgical trauma as well as oral feeding restriction and nasogastric tube decompression.^{1,2} It is found that peristaltic instability of stomach and small intestine after abdominal surgery resumes within 24 hours followed by large intestine in 48-72 hours.² Literature review revealed early oral feeding has dual beneficial effect. It reduces the respiratory complications, paralytic ileus, wound infection, hospital stay and mortality, while on the other hand it enhance the anastomotic healing.³⁻⁶ Keeping the patients nil by mouth for five days does not prevent anastomotic leak and postoperative complications.⁷ A meta-analysis revealed that early (<24 hours) oral feeding enhances recovery and decreases hospital stay, morbidity and mortality.8 Similarly, French

guidelines and ERAS consensus guidelines supports early oral feeding.⁸

Enteral feeding stabilize the enterocytes, improves immunity, enhances mucosal barrier function and reduces stress response. Studies proved that return of small bowel peristalsis within hours after laparotomy provides the theoretical support for early postoperative enteral nutrition.⁹ Early enteral feeding is cost effective. Stoma reversal can be performed with early discharge protocols in order to reduce hospital stay and financial burden on patient and healthcare resources.¹⁰ In our population typhoid and tuberculous perforation of small bowel and volvulus and traumatic injuries of large bowel are common problems. Late presentation with miserable conditions mandates temporary stoma formation at primary surgery. Closure of these stomas needs another lengthy hospital admission which causes psychological and financial burden to the patient and family. The present study was aimed to find out the safety of early (within 24 hours) oral feeding after stoma closure in terms of anastomotic leakage, paralytic ileus, wound healing and hospital stay.

METHODOLOGY:

The present study was conducted in the Department of Surgery Unit III, Bolan University of Medical and Health Sciences at Sandeman Provincial Teaching Hospital and Mohtarma Shaheed Benazir Bhutto Hospital Quetta from January 2017 to December 2018 after obtaining institutional approval. On basis of assumption from published literature and our own hospital experience that early oral feeding reduces the hospital stay as minimum as two days (2-3 days) from maximum of fourteen days (10-14 days),¹¹ calculated sample size keeping power of 80% with 5% significance level 78 patients were needed in each group (total=156). Four more patients were included for deaths, drop out and lost to follow up. The non probability sampling technique was used for patients' recruitment. Patient who had temporary ileostomy and colostomy were admitted for stoma closure. Informed consent was taken. Patients with comorbid conditions and immunosuppression due to chemotherapy were excluded from the study.

Preoperative investigations like CBC, electrolytes, BUN, total serum protein were done in all patients. Contrast radiological examination was performed to exclude distal obstruction. The stoma reversal was planned in selected patients. Prophylactic broadspectrum antibiotics were administered to all patients prior to induction of anesthesia. Anastomosis was done by hand sewn two layer technique in all cases. Patients were randomly divided into two groups (Group E with early postoperative feeding and Group L with late postoperative feeding). In Group E, oral sips were allowed within 24 hours postoperatively. In Group L, oral sips were allowed only after the return of bowel functions. Patients in both groups were evaluated in terms of paralytic ileus (vomiting and abdominal distension), anastomosis leakage (signs and symptoms of peritonitis), wound infection, and length of hospital stay. Data were analyzed using SPSS version 20. The Chi-square test was used to assess and compare the variables in both the groups. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS:

Total of 156 patients were enrolled. There were 94 males (60.3%) and 62 females (39.7%). The male to female ratio was 1.5:1. The mean age was 34+12.5 year. The age ranged from 17 year - 65 years. In early feeding group E (n= 78) 41 (52.56%) patients were male and 37 (47.43%) female. In these feeding started within 24 hours of stoma closure irrespective of bowel movements. In late feeding group L (n=78) 53 (67.94%) patients were male and 25 (32.05%) female. They were kept on traditional 4-5 days nil per orum and nasogastric (N/G) aspiration. Majority (n=111 - 71.2%) of the patients had loop ileostomy and 45 (28.8%) colostomy. Age, gender and stoma type were not statistically significant (table I).

In group E, 8 (10.25%) patients developed paralytic ileus of which 7 had moderate and one severe and prolonged ileus. In group L, 27 (34.61%) patients had ileus of which 8 were severe and prolonged (p= <0.001). Postoperative anastomotic leak was observed in 2 (2.56%) patients in early feeding group and in 7 (8.97%) patients in late feeding group (p=0.083). Wound infection developed in 36 (22.43%) patients in this study. There were 31 (19.87%) superficial wound infection while 5 (3.2%) developed deep infection. In group E, 7 (8.97%) patients had superficial and one patient deep infection while in group L, 24 (30.76%) developed superficial and four (5.12%) deep infection (p= < 0.001). In group E majority of the patients were discharged on 3rd postoperative day and two stayed in hospital for more than seven days while in group L majority patients stayed in hospital for 5-7 days (table II).

DISCUSSION:

Early oral feeding after bowel anastomosis strengthens its healing by improving immune competence. Preoperative counseling of patients regarding surgical procedure and postoperative course, avoiding use of a nasogastric tube, early

Manzoor Ahmed,	, Mukhtar Mehb	oob, Fida Ahm	ied, Khan Mo	ohammad Babai	, Mohammad	lqbal,
Shoaib Ahmed Q	ureshi					

Table I: Patients' Demography										
Variables Group (E) Patient		ts (n) Group (L) Patients (n)		Correlation		Significance				
Age groups (Year) 10-30 35 31-50 33 >50 10		33 31 14		3 1 4	0.045		0.504			
Gender Male Female	41 37		53 25		157		0.05			
Type of stoma Ileostomy Colostomy	e of stoma stomy 53 stomy 25		58 20		071		.380			
Table II: Comparison of Variables in Early Versus Late Feeding Groups										
Variables Feeding group										
		Grou	ıp E (n %)	Group L (n %	%)	Total (n	%)	P-Value		
Paralytic ileus	No 69 Moderate 8 Severe 1 Total 78		88.46%) 10.25%) 1.28%) 50%)	43 (55.12%) 27 (34.61%) 8 (10.25%) 78 (50%)		112 (71.79% 35 (22.43% 9 (5.76%) 156 (100%)		<0.001		
Anastomotic leak	Yes No Total	2 (2.56%) 76 (97.43%) 78 (50%)		7 (8.97%) 71 (91.02%) 78 (50%)		9 (5.76%) 147 (94.23%) 156 (100%)		0.083		
Wound infection Yes No Total		8 (10.25%) 70 (89.74%) 78 (50%)		28 (35.89%) 50 (64.10%) 78 (50%)		36 (20.07%) 120 (76.92%) 156 (100%)		0.001		
Hospital stay	3 days 4-7 days >7 days Total	76 0 2 78	(97.43%) (00%) (50.76%) (59%)	0 (00%) 70 (89.74% 8 (10.25% 78 (50%))	76 (48.7 70 (44.8 10 (6.41 156 (100	1%) 7%) I%))%)	<0.001		

oral feeding and mobilization, use of NSAIDs and epidural anesthesia, and avoiding opiates is integral part of early recovery after surgery program.¹² In this study we observed that patients on early oral feeding had fewer postoperative complications hence short hospital stay that was statistically significant as compared to conventional group. Abbas T et al in their study did not find any significant difference in postoperative complications especially in leakage rate in both groups.¹³ Similarly in a study conducted by Ahmed SF et al there was no significant difference in over all complications rates between two groups.¹⁴ In present study we observed less anastomotic leakage in group E when compared to conventional group L which was not significant. Hussain S et al observed significantly less anastomotic leaks in early feeding group.¹ Marwa S et al allowed early gum chewing to their patients and observed less anastomotic dehiscence but did not find significant level.² Same was observed in many other studies.^{3,15,16} It is reported that early postoperative feeding is associated with improved immunity and hence decreased wound infection, improved wound healing and possibly improved anastomotic strength.⁵ In present study we observed significantly decreased wound infection rate when compared with late feeding group. In a study of Lewis S J et al the wound infection rate was less and statistically significant while in other studies the same observations were not made.^{3,16,17}

Hospital stay in our patients was less in study group (group E) than conventional group (group L) that was significant. Dag A et al in their study found significantly shorter hospital stay in the early feeding group when compared with the regular diet group.³ Similarly in Wang H et al study the hospital stay was less and statistically significant which is consistent with our study.¹⁵ Statistically significant reduction in hospital stay was also observed in other studies.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ However study authors found significantly longer hospital stay in late feeding group compare to early feeding group.¹⁹

CONCLUSIONS:

Early oral feeding following elective intestinal anastomosis was safe and well tolerated. There were less complications than traditional postoperative management protocols.

REFERENCES:

- Hussain S, Waqas, Shah M, Jan Y. Comparison of frequency of anastomotic leak in early versus delayed oral feeding after elective colostomy closure. Khyber J Med Sci. 2015;8:351-6.
- 2. Marwah S, Singla S, Tinna P. Role of gum chewing on the duration of postoperative ileus following ileostomy closure done for typhoid ileal perforation: A prospective randomized trial. Saudi J Gastroenterol. 2012;18:111-7.
- ^{3.} Dag A, Colak, Turkmenoglu O. A randomized controlled trial evaluating early versus traditional oral feeding after colorectal surgery. Clinics (Sao Paulo). 2011;66:2001-5.
- 4. Hadi A, Iqbal Z, Hussain M. Postoperative outcome of early oral feeding following elective colonic anastomosis. J Surg Pakistan. 2014;1996-9.

- Ahmad M, Qayyum A, Akhtar M, Shah R, Afridi S, Alam S, et al. Safety of early versus delayed enteral feeding following ileostomy closure: Randomized controlled trial. Khyber Med Univ J. 2013;5:195-8.
- Tavakkoli A, Ashley SW, Zinner MJ. Small Intestine. In Brunicardi FC, Billiar TR, Dunn DL, Hunter JG, Metthews JB, et al. schwart'z Principles of Surgery. Edi 10th Mac Graw Hill education. 2015:1152.
- Vargas MG, Sardaneta MLM, Reyes DP, Justo-Janeiro JM. Intestinal anastomosis. Clin Surg. 2018;3:1854.
- 8. Carmichael JC, Keller DS, Baldini G, Bordeianou L, Weiss E, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for enhanced recovery after colon and rectal surgery from the American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons and Society of American Gastrointestinal and Endoscopic Surgeons. Dis Colon Rectum. 2017; 60:761-84.
- Kishore K, Nirhale DS, Athavale VS, Goenka GG, Calcuttawala MA. Early enteral feeding within 24 hours of gastrointestinal surgery versus Nil by mouth: A prospective study. Med J DYPatil Univ. 2014;7:173-6.
- Baraza W, Wild J, Barber W, Brown S. Postoperative management after loop ileostomy closure: are we keeping patients in hospital too long? Ann R Coll Surg Engl. 2010;92:51-5.
- Carlson G, Epstein J. The small and large intestines; In Williams NS, Bulstrode CJK, O' Connel PR. Bailey & Love's Short practice of surgery. Edi 26th, CRC press Taylor & Francis group LCC. 2013;1168.
- Sosada K, Wiewiora M, Piecuch J, Zurawinski W. Fast track in large intestinal surgery – review of randomized clinical trials. Wideochir Inne Tech Moloinwazyjne. 2012; 8; 1-7.
- Abbas T, Nazir A, Lateef M, Rauf F, Choudhary ZA. Safety of short stay hospitalization in reversal of loop lleostomy. Ann Punjab Med Coll. 2012;6:779-81.

Manzoor Ahmed, Mukhtar Mehboob, Fida Ahmed, Khan Mohammad Babar, Mohammad Iqbal, Shoaib Ahmed Qureshi

- Ahmed FS, Ali ZS. Safety of early oral feeding after gastrointestinal anastomosis: a randomized clinical trial. Indian J Surg. 2005;67:185-8.
- Wang H, Zhao J, Wang Y, Tian Y, Xing H, Huiyu H, et al. Early enteral nutrition reduced postoperative ileus and improved the outcomes in patients with emergency intestinal surgery: results from a propensity score analysis. Int J Clin Exp Med. 2017;10:7040-8.
- Lewis SJ, Egger M, Sylvester PA, Thomas S. Early enteral feeding versus "nil by mouth" after gastrointestinal surgery: systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled trials. BMJ. 2001;323(7316):773-6.
- Pirzada MT, Naseer F, Haider R, Haider J, Ahmed MJ, Alam SN, et al. Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) protocol in stoma reversals. J Pak Med Assoc. 2017;67:1674-8.
- Mahla V , Khan S, Ahmad R , Jenaw RK. Early feeding after loop ileostomy reversal: A prospective study. Formosan J Surg. 2016;49:178-82.
- 19. Lee HS, Shim H, Jang JY, Lee H, Lee JG. Early feeding is feasible after emergency gastrointestinal surgery. Yonsei Med J. 2014;55:395-400.

Received for publication: 16-11-2019

Accepted after revision: 30-12-2019

Author's Contributions:

Manzoor Ahmed. Concept, data collection, manuscript writing and review, data analysis and reference collection.

Mukhtar Mehboob. Concept, data collection, manuscript writing, data analysis and reference collection. Final review and approval. Fida Ahmed. Data collection, manuscript writing, and reference collection.

Khan Mohammad Babar. Data collection, manuscript writing, and reference collection.

Mohammad Iqbal. Concept, Data collection and reference collection. Shoaib Ahmed Qureshi. Concept, data collection, manuscript writing, final review and approval.

Conflict of Interest:

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Source of Funding: None

How to cite this article:

Ahmed M, Mehboob M, Ahmed F, Babar KM, Iqbal M, Qureshi SA. Comparison of early versus late oral feeding after elective stoma closure. J Surg Pakistan. 2019;24 (4):167-70. Doi:10.21699/jsp.24.4.2.